

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/758,972	01/16/2004	James Stoffer	423.027US1	6512
7590 07/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			RONESI, VICKEY M	
P.O. Box 2938				
Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Cummans	10/758,972	STOFFER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art'Unit
	Vickey Ronesi	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	i, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74,76-133 and 136-140</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74,76-133 and 136-140</u> are subject	wn from consideration.	requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) if	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl nty documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) T N-6:61-6	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050719

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-31, 35-74, 76-83, 87-98, 121, 122, 127-133, and 137-139, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 403.
- II. Claims 32-34, 84-86, 99-120, 123-126, 136, and 140, drawn to a coated substrate, classified in class 428, subclass 626.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive or extruded or cast film coatings and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Note that the method of preparing a composition claims (claims 121 and 122) have been groups with the composition claims (claims 1-31, 35-74, 76-83, 87-98, 127-133, and 13-139)

Application/Control Number: 10/758,972

Art Unit: 1714

since not significant method steps are recited. In addition, the coating systems claims (claims 99-116 and 136) and the method of coating a substrate claims (claims 117-120 and 123-126) have been groups with the substrate claims (claims 32-34, 84-86, and 140) since all involve coating to substrates and no significant distinctions are considered to be present.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Election of Species

Species Group I

Claims 1-74, 76-133, and 136-140 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising rare earth compounds (e.g., species in instant claim 2). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Species Group II

Claims 1-74, 76-133, and 136-140 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising components that enhance corrosion resistance (e.g., species in instant claims 21, 23, 26, 79, and 92). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,972

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each aforementioned group, i.e., pick a single group, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Due to the cumbersome nature of this election/restriction requirement, a telephonic election was not attempted.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1714

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/20/2005

vr

CALLIE E. SHOSHO PRIMARY EXAMINER